

**Perceived Threats to Wild Sheep: Levels of Concordance Among Western States, Provinces, and Territories**

**VERNON C. BLEICH**, 11537 36X St. SW, Dickinson, ND 58601, USA

**Abstract:** In 2008, representatives of 19 states, provinces, or territories that are members of the Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies informally ranked 7 perceived threats to the conservation of wild sheep from greatest to least concern. Statistical analyses indicated significant concordance among respondents when all responses were considered simultaneously ( $P < 0.001$ ), but there was little agreement among representatives from areas inhabited by thornhorn sheep ( $P \approx 0.23$ ). I failed, however, to reject the null hypothesis of no agreement among states inhabited primarily by desert bighorn sheep ( $P \approx 0.02$ ) or Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep ( $P < 0.001$ ). Further, when within-group and between-group rankings were considered simultaneously, the null hypothesis of no agreement among participants representing areas inhabited by desert bighorn sheep or Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep again was not rejected ( $P < 0.001$ ). Categories of threat considered in this analysis were preliminary in nature and not mutually exclusive and, thereby, confounded interpretation of results. Thus, I suggest further refining the list of threats with which wild sheep are faced, and combining those issues into fewer categories of risk.

---

*Biennial Symposium of the Northern Wild Sheep and Goat Council 17:88; 2010*

Email: [vbleich@ndsupernet.com](mailto:vbleich@ndsupernet.com)